

The following is the substance of a Guest Editorial in the *American Journal of Physics*, Vol. 71, No. 5, May 2003, pages 423-425. Guest editorials are formatted as block-text with no section divisions. This version restores full formatting./EFT

## Guest Editorial: A Call to Action

Would you like to begin the study of Newtonian mechanics using NO vectors and NO  $F = ma$ ? How about starting quantum mechanics with NO complex numbers and NO Schrödinger equation? Would you and your students enjoy exploring general relativity deeply and widely with NO tensors and NO field equations?

Suppose, further, that along the way your students learn concepts and methods central to contemporary physics research. Finally, what if particle motions described by Newtonian mechanics, quantum mechanics, and general relativity were summarized in three brief commands of Nature that turn out to be variations of the same command?

Physics is already being taught this way, and students respond with enthusiasm and understanding. The theoretical background for this curriculum has been around for a long time and is well developed and deeply understood by the physics and mathematics communities.

Here are Nature's commands to the stone and electron:

At the stone moving with nonrelativistic speed in a region of small spacetime curvature, Nature shouts: *Follow the path of least action!*

At the stone moving with any possible speed in a region of any finite spacetime curvature, Nature shouts: *Follow the path of maximal aging!*

At the electron, Nature shouts: *Explore all paths!*

That's it. We now examine each of these commands in turn.

## 1. Follow the Path of Least Action!

When I was in high school, my physics teacher—whose name was Mr. Bader—called me down one day after physics class and said, “You look bored; I want to tell you something interesting.” Then he told me something which I found absolutely fascinating, and have, since then, always found fascinating. . . the principle of least action.

—Richard Feynman<sup>1</sup>

The Principle of Least Action in Newtonian mechanics<sup>2</sup> defines the **action**  $S$  for a particle under the influence of a potential:

$$S \equiv \int_{\text{along the path}} L dt = \int_{\text{along the path}} (T - V) dt \quad (1)$$

Here  $T$  is the kinetic energy,  $V$  the potential energy, and  $L$  the **Lagrangian** ( $L = T - V$  in simple cases) along each segment of the path.<sup>3</sup> The quantities  $T$ ,  $V$ ,  $L$ , and  $S$  are *scalars*. The **Principle of Least Action** demands that the action  $S$  be a minimum for the path taken by the particle.<sup>4</sup> For one-dimensional motion in a conservative potential, students use interactive graphics software to seek out by trial and error the path that minimizes the action.<sup>5</sup>

The Principle of Least Action appears in Chapter 1 of some advanced texts in classical mechanics.<sup>6</sup> Applying the calculus of variations to the action, these texts derive **Lagrange's equations**, the equations that replace and generalize  $F = ma$ . It is not necessary to use the calculus of variations with introductory students; the action in equation (1) is additive, so the Principle of Least Action must apply to each small segment along the path. Therefore elementary calculus suffices to derive Lagrange's equations:<sup>7</sup>

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0 \quad (2)$$

Here  $q$  is any independent coordinate, such as position  $x$  or angle  $\theta$ , and  $\dot{q}$  is the time derivative of  $q$ . Lagrange's *scalar* equations allow us to analyze with equal ease the motion of a particle or a system subject to constraints, such as a compound pendulum. In contrast,  $F = ma$  requires us to determine every single (vector!) force of constraint before we can describe the motion of even the simplest compound system.

## 2. So Long Force. Hello Energy.

*. . . modern particle physics, just like general relativity, seems to support the thesis that the concept of force has reached the end of its life-cycle — its disbarment from the inventory of fundamental concepts in physics . . .*

—Max Jammer<sup>8</sup>

Two criticisms can be leveled against the Principle of Least Action as an introduction to Newtonian mechanics. (1) The concept of action is less concrete and farther from common experience than the concept of force. (2) The Principle of Least Action and Lagrange's equations deal awkwardly with dissipative and frictional forces.

Concerning criticism (1), I believe that the comparison should not be between *force* and *action*, but rather between *vector force* and *scalar energy*. One way to make the concept of action concrete is to introduce it through the conservation of energy.

Some introductory texts already begin mechanics with the conservation of energy.<sup>9</sup> An analysis of different forms of energy widens the initial scope of the subject and allows discussion of current environmental issues. Early introduction of the potential energy diagram (central to later study of advanced mechanics, quantum mechanics, and general relativity) permits qualitative analysis of motion before the use of mathematical formalism.

Then we discover that, given initial conditions, conservation of energy alone is sufficient to describe completely the one-dimensional motion of a particle. However, conservation of energy typically fails to specify the motion of a particle in more than one dimension. Adding conservation of angular momentum for two-dimensional motion in a central potential puts off the inevitable. But finally we must move beyond the powerful conservation of energy to the still more powerful Principle of Least Action.

Criticism (2), that the Principle of Least Action deals awkwardly with dissipative and frictional forces, is certainly valid. Under our proposal, force is not eliminated but becomes a secondary concept, available to analyze friction and dissipative forces. Making force secondary clears center stage for the Principle of Least Action to predict motions of a huge variety of simple and complex systems.

### 3. Follow the Path of Maximal Aging!

*Not only does the least-action principle offer a means of formulating classical mechanics that is more flexible and powerful than Newtonian mechanics, [but also] variations on the least-action principle have proved useful in general relativity theory, quantum field theory, and particle physics. As a result, this principle lies at the core of much of contemporary physics.*


—Thomas A. Moore<sup>10</sup>

The twin paradox helps us to predict how a high-speed free particle moves in flat spacetime. The traveling twin streaks away to a distant star, returning to greet her stay-at-home sister who has relaxed in an inertial frame between the events of departure and return. The two alternative journeys are most clearly described using a "path" called a **worldline**, a position-versus-time plot. When the twins meet at the end of the trip, the reading on the wristwatch of the stay-at-home twin (who follows the *natural* straight worldline) is greater than the reading on the wristwatch of the round-trip twin. The *aging* between events of departure and arrival is greater along the straight worldline than along every nearby alternative worldline between these two events. This yields Nature's command to the stone: ***Follow the worldline of maximal aging!***

The stone obeys the **Principle of Maximal Aging** even in curved spacetime (because a *local* inertial frame can be defined on every segment of the free-particle worldline). General relativity calls the resulting worldline a **geodesic**. In the vicinity of a time-independent structure such as a non-spinning or spinning star, neutron star, or black hole, a time-independent **metric** relates aging along a segment of worldline to particle displacement in space and time coordinates along that segment. By employing the metric and the Principle of Maximal Aging, students can explore big chunks of general relativity without tensors or the field equations.<sup>11</sup>

General relativity is *general*, so the stone follows the maximal-aging geodesic in everyday motions near Earth. For low speeds and weak gravitational effects, however, the Principle of Maximal Aging simplifies to the nonrelativistic Principle of Least Action.<sup>12</sup> Newtonian mechanics thus becomes a limiting case of relativistic mechanics (Figure 1). No pedagogical reason keeps students from a first immersion in general relativity in their second year of physics instruction.

In the quotation that begins this section, Tom Moore reminds us that forms of the least action principle are useful not only in general relativity but also in other contemporary fields of physics. Why not prepare our students to deploy this prodigious arsenal?



**Figure 1.** Story line showing the Principle of Least Action sandwiched between relativity and quantum mechanics.

#### 4. Explore All Paths!

Thirty-one years ago [1949!], Dick Feynman told me about his "sum over histories" version of quantum mechanics. "The electron does anything it likes," he said. "It just goes in any direction at any speed, forward or backward in time, however it likes, and then you add up the amplitudes and it gives you the wave-function." I said to him, "You're crazy." But he wasn't.

—Freeman J. Dyson, 1980<sup>13</sup>

The least-action command for the stone follows seamlessly (in the limiting case of large mass) from a conceptually simple approach to quantum mechanics devised by Richard Feynman more than half a century ago. Nature's three-word command to the electron is: *Explore all paths!* Here again *path* is understood to mean *worldline*. Feynman's approach is well documented.<sup>14</sup> In brief, Feynman tells us how to evaluate the contribution of each alternative worldline to the probability that a particle emitted at event *A* will be detected at a later event *B*. The key point is that as the mass of a particle increases, the set of worldlines between *A* and *B* that contribute *significantly* to the probability of detection at *B* shrinks to a narrow pencil around the worldline of least action.<sup>15</sup> In the limit of large mass this pencil contracts seamlessly to the single worldline predicted by the Principle of Least Action. Newtonian mechanics becomes an obvious

special case of quantum mechanics (Figure 1). Using the Feynman approach, students can wield the power of quantum mechanics earlier in their careers than is possible when they start with the Schrödinger equation.

## 5. Lights! Camera! Action!

*. . . . I am conscious of the danger of a bias. It may happen to me, as to others, that a meditation which has long been dwelt on shall assume an unreal importance; and that a method which has for a long time been practised shall acquire an only seeming facility. It must remain for others to judge how far my attempts have been successful, and how far they require to be completed, or set aside, in the future progress of the science.*

— William Rowan Hamilton<sup>16</sup>

How far along are we in utilizing the Principle of Least Action and its mighty siblings in the first and second year undergraduate physics curriculum? At least one introductory physics text, already in its second edition, begins Newtonian mechanics with the Principle of Least Action.<sup>17</sup>

Another text, for honors students, introduces Lagrange's equations in an early chapter.<sup>18</sup> These treatments provide existence proofs to guide us as we develop our own story line for the first year of undergraduate physics. At least one sophomore level text explores general relativity using a variety of metrics together with the Principle of Maximal Aging.<sup>11</sup> The Feynman many-paths approach to quantum mechanics exists in scattered resources, some of them already used in classes.<sup>5</sup>

The Principle of Least Action is simple, potent, and fundamental. It spans classical and contemporary physics and introduces deep concepts central to current research. Now is the time for *action!*

Edwin F. Taylor  
Department of Physics  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, MA 02139  
[eftaylor@mit.edu](mailto:eftaylor@mit.edu)

<sup>1</sup>Richard P. Feynman, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics*, Volume II, Addison Wesley, 1964, Chapter 19.

<sup>2</sup>We use the phrase *Newtonian mechanics* to mean non-relativistic, non-quantum mechanics. Some texts use the term *classical mechanics* to include both Newtonian and relativistic mechanics.

<sup>3</sup>An editorial is not the setting in which to discuss technical details of these statements. See the mechanics texts in Ref. 6 and Herbert Goldstein, Charles Poole, and John Safko, *Classical Mechanics* (Addison Wesley, 2002), 3rd ed. Further background, see Ref. 5.

<sup>4</sup>The action for an actual path may be a saddle point rather than a minimum. So the most general, but rather esoteric, term for our basic law is the *principle of stationary action*.

<sup>5</sup>Sample software and student materials for both general relativity and quantum mechanics appropriate for the second year of study are available at [www.eftaylor.com/](http://www.eftaylor.com/)

<sup>6</sup>L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Mechanics, Course of Theoretical Physics* (Butterworth-Heinemann, 1976), 3rd ed., Vol. 1, Chap. 1. Gerald Jay Sussman and Jack Wisdom, *Structure and Interpretation of Classical Mechanics* (MIT Press, 2001), Chap. 1.

<sup>7</sup>Jozef Hanc, Edwin F. Taylor, and Slavomir Tuleja, "Deriving Lagrange's Equations Using Elementary Calculus," submitted to the *American Journal of Physics*. See also Cornelius Lanczos, *The Variational Principles of Mechanics* (Dover Publications 1986), 4th ed., pp. 49-54.

<sup>8</sup>Max Jammer *Concepts of Force* (Dover Publications, 1999), preface.

<sup>9</sup>Roger A. Hinrichs and Merlin Kleinbach, *Energy: Its Use and the Environment* (Harcourt Brace, 2002), 3rd ed; Gordon Aubrecht, *Energy* (Prentice-Hall College Division, 1994) 2nd. ed; Thomas A. Moore begins the study of mechanics with conservation laws in *Six Ideas That Shaped Physics, Unit C: Conservations Laws Constrain Interactions* (McGraw-Hill, 2003), 2nd. ed.

<sup>10</sup>Thomas A. Moore in the entry on "Least-Action Principle" in *Macmillan Encyclopedia of Physics* (Simon & Schuster Macmillan, 1996), Vol. 2, pp. 840 – 842.

<sup>11</sup>Edwin F. Taylor and John Archibald Wheeler, *Exploring Black Holes: Introduction to General Relativity* (Addison-Wesley Longman, 2000).

<sup>12</sup>A brief derivation is available at Ref. 5.

<sup>13</sup>Freeman Dyson in *Some Strangeness in the Proportion*, edited by Harry Woolf (Addison-Wesley, 1980), p. 376.

<sup>14</sup>Some background references are available at Ref. 5.

<sup>15</sup>R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill, 1965), p. 29.

<sup>16</sup>William Rowan Hamilton, "On a General Method of Expressing the Paths of Light, and of the Planets, by the Coefficients of a Characteristic Function," *Dublin University Review and Quarterly Magazine*, 1 (1833), 795-826.

<sup>17</sup>Jorge Dias de Deus, Mário Pimenta, Ana Noronha, Teresa Peña, and Pedro Brogueira, *Introducción al la Física* (Spanish) (McGraw-Hill, 2001, ISBN: 84-481-3190-8) and *Introdução à Física* (Portuguese) (McGraw-Hill, 2000, ISBN: 972-773-035-3), 2nd ed.

<sup>18</sup>David Morin introduces Lagrange's equations in Chapter 5 of his honors introductory physics text. A draft of is available at <http://www.courses.fas.harvard.edu/~phys16/handouts/textbook/ch5.pdf>